

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,411	12/15/2003	James W. Nicholson	P1682 US (2650/191)	3962
7590 08/18/2006			EXAMINER	
Catherine C. Maresh			NGUYEN, TUAN VAN	
Medtronic Vas	cular, Inc.			
IP Legal			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3576 Unocal Place			3731	
Santa Rosa, CA 95403			DATE MAILED: 08/18/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

VR	•
_ D O	

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/736,411	NICHOLSON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Tuan V. Nguyen	3731	

Advisory Action	10/736,411	10/736,411 NICHOLSON ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tuan V. Nguyen	3731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence a	ddress			
THE REPLY FILED 01 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE TH	• •	•				
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN						
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MI		y when the finor her er who	711225 **********************************			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.	I of extension and the correspon of the shortened statutory period e later than three months after the 704(b).	ding amount of the fee. The appro for reply originally set in the final C ne mailing date of the final rejection	priate extension fee Office action; or (2) as n, even if timely filed,			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	extension thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection.	tion, but prior to the date of t	iling a brief, will not be entered	l because			
(a) X They raise new issues that would require furth						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by r	naterially reducing or simplifyin	ig the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without cancel	= -	of finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CF		a of Non Compliant Amondmor	+ (DTOL 224)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CF		e of Non-Compliant Amendmen	II (F10L-324).			
<ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject</li><li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would</li></ul>		a senarate timely filed amend	ment canceling the			
non-allowable claim(s).						
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s) how the new or amended claims would be rejected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			n explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		CCI N. C. A				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final active because applicant failed to provide a showing of gowas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	od and sufficient reasons wh	y the affidavit or other evidence	e is necessary and			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of entered because the affidavit or other evidence faile showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is nec</li> </ol>	d to overcome <u>all</u> rejections essary and was not earlier pr	under appeal and/or appellant resented. See 37 CFR 41.33(c	fails to provide a l)(1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control	anation of the status of the cl	aims after entry is below or atta	ached.			
11.  The request for reconsideration has been consider	ed but does NOT place the a	application in condition for allow	vance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  13. Other:						
,						
• •						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: New issues arise from new limitations in claim 1, lines 7 and 8 and claim 16, lines 6 and 7, required further consideration and search.

ANHTUANT. NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 1000